IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.9166 of 2002

Between:

The Nizamabad Gold & Silver Merchants Association Regd.No.1043,

Rep by its vice President B.Gangappa Swarnabhavan, Marwadigalli, Nizamabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Station House Officer, Naredmet P.S., Secunderabad.
2 The Station House Officer, Saroornagar P.S., Hyderabad.
3 The Director General of Police, Hyderabad.
4 The Commissioner of Police, Twin Cities, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad.
5 The Superintendent of Police, Nizamabad, Nizamabad District.
6 The Station House Officer, Nizamabad P.S. Town-I, Nizamabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in interfering with the business of the members of the Association and harassing day and night without any cause, and without registering any crime against them or pending in any court of law, violative of the fundamental rights guaranteed Under Articles 14 & 21 of the Constitution of India as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondent Nos.3 to 5 consider the representation of the petitioner's Association and take action as per law.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.SRI KISHAN SHARMA (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

-

ORDER:

This matter was listed in the “supplemental list” on 28-06-2005. On that day, learned counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation. Therefore, the matter was directed to be posted “For Dismissal”. Today also, though the matter is appearing in the “supplemental list” under the caption “For Dismissal”, learned Counsel for the petitioner is absent and there is no representation.

The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed for default/non-prosecution. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S. RAO,J)

29.06.2005

YS

To

1 The Station House Officer, Naredmet P.S., Secunderabad.
2 The Station House Officer, Saroornagar P.S., Hyderabad.
3 The Director General of Police, Hyderabad.
4 The Commissioner of Police, Twin Cities, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad.
5 The Superintendent of Police, Nizamabad, Nizamabad District.
6 The Station House Officer, Nizamabad P.S. Town-I, Nizamabad.

7 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Home, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad. (OUT)

8 Two C.D. Copies.